

ANNALS OF PHYSICS: 16, 407-466 (1961)

7

Two Soluble Models of an Antiferromagnetic Chain

APPENDIX B. NON ABSENCE OF AN E

We prove two exact theor for a Heisenberg model with The generalization to longer is indicated. A further genera of the ordering of excited stat in the Journal of Mathematica

THEOREM 1. For a linear cl magnetic Heisenberg interact S = 0).

Proof. We first remark that shall (7), who proved that th exclude the possibility of there of which may not be singlets. V

457 ELLIOT ANTIFERROMAGNETIC CHAIN Next we investigate the nature of the excitation spectrum and prove THEOREM 2. There is an excited state for the cyclic linear chain with nearest neighbor Heisenberg interactions having vanishingly small excitation energy in the limit that the length of the chain becomes infinite. Proof. Consider the state (B-11) $\Psi_k = \exp\left(ik\sum nS^{z}_n\right)\Psi_0 \equiv \mathcal{O}^k\Psi_0.$ We first show that if $k = (2\pi/N) \times \text{odd}$ integer, Ψ_k is orthogonal to the ground state. Consider the unitary operator U_x that displaces all the spins by one site (B-12) $U_x \mathbf{S}_i U_x^{-1} = \mathbf{S}_{i+1}, \qquad \mathbf{S}_{N+1} = \mathbf{S}_1.$ cyclically: Because $[H,U_x]=0,$ if Ψ_0 is an eigenstate of H, so is $U_*\Psi_0$. By the nondegeneracy of Ψ_0 (B-13) $U_x\Psi_0 = e^{i\alpha}\Psi_0.$

 $H = \sum S_{i}^{z} S_{i}^{z}$ Thus

(B-14) $\langle \Psi_0 \mid \Psi_k
angle = - \langle \Psi_0 \mid \mathfrak{O}^k \mid \Psi_0
angle$

basic setting twist operator generalized Lieb-Shultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem topological phase transition in S = 1 chains edge state in the Haldane phase of S = 1chains connecting H_{AKLT} and $H_{trivial}$ without phase transitions Thouless spin pumping in S = 1 chains classifying loops of Hamiltonians

basic setting

quantum spin chain on Z

spin with $S = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ on each site $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

S = 1 in most part of the talk

spin operators $S_j = (S_j^x, S_j^y, S_j^z)$ with $[S_j^x, S_k^y] = i \, \delta_{j,k} \, S_j^z, \dots$ and $(S_j)^2 = S(S+1)$ act on the local Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{2S+1} \mathfrak{A}_{loc} set of all polynomials of S_j^α C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{A}_{loc}}$

Def: A state is a linear function $\rho : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho(I) = 1$ and $\rho(A^{\dagger}A) \ge 0$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ $\rho(A)$ is the expectation value of A in the sate ρ Hamiltonian formal expression $H = \sum h_j$ local Hamiltonian $h_j = h_j^{\dagger} \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ **M** short-ranged h_j acts only on spins at $k \in \{j, ..., j + r_0\}$ **I** translation invariant h_i is the translation of h_0 **U**(1) **invariant** essential assumption h_i is invariant under an arbitrary uniform rotation about the z-axis $e^{i\theta \sum_{k \in \{j,\dots,j+r_0\}} S_k^{z}} h_j e^{-i\theta \sum_{k \in \{j,\dots,j+r_0\}} S_k^{z}} = h_j$ example: Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain

$$h_j = oldsymbol{S}_j \cdot oldsymbol{S}_{j+1}$$

unique gapped ground state (g.s.)

Def: A state ω is a g.s. iff $\omega(V^{\dagger}[H, V]) \ge 0$ for any $V \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$

one cannot lower the energy by a local modification with V when $\omega(A) = \langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | A | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle$ $\frac{\langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | V^{\dagger} H V | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle}{\langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | V^{\dagger} V | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle} \ge E_{\rm GS}$

a unique g.s. accompanied by a nonzero energy gap

 $\uparrow \Delta E = O(1)$

Def: A g.s. ω is a unique gapped g.s. iff it is the only g.s. and $\exists \gamma > 0$ s.t. $\omega(V^{\dagger}[H, V]) \ge \gamma \omega(V^{\dagger}V)$ for $\forall V \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ with $\omega(V) = 0$. The energy gap ΔE of ω is the largest γ

 $\frac{\langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | V^{\dagger} H V | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle}{\langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | V^{\dagger} V | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle} \ge E_{\rm GS} + \gamma$

whenever $\langle \Phi_{\rm GS} | V | \Phi_{\rm GS} \rangle = 0$

main theme twist operator



Lemma: Let ω be a g.s. Then for any x and $\ell \ge \ell_0$ one has $0 \le \omega(U_{x,\ell}^{\dagger}[H, U_{x,\ell}]) \le \frac{C}{\ell}$ where C and ℓ_0 are constants $\omega(U_{x,\ell}) = 0$ (energy gap of ω) $\le \frac{C}{\ell}$ gapless! the original logic in Lieb, Schultz, Mattis and Affleck, Lieb

 $\omega(U_{x,\ell})$

Lemma: Let ω be a unique gapped g.s. with energy gap $\Delta E > 0$. Then one has $1 - \frac{C}{\ell \Delta E} \le |\omega(U_{x,\ell})|^2 \le 1$

 $|\omega(U_{x,\ell})| \simeq 1$ if ℓ is large enough

generalized Lieb-Shultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem

a modification to the twist operator



winding number translation suppose that ω is a unique gapped g.s. invariant $\omega(\tilde{U}_{0,\ell}) = \omega(\tilde{U}_{1,\ell})$ variation #1 $\omega(\tilde{U}_{x,\ell})$ is continuous in $x \in [0,1]$ $|\omega(\tilde{U}_{x,\ell})| \simeq 1$ for sufficiently large ℓ well-defined winding number $\nu_{\omega} \in \mathbb{Z}$ topological index invariant under continuous modification of unique gapped g.s. **Lemma:** $\nu_{\omega} = \omega(S_j^z + S)$ filling factor $\tilde{U}_{x,\ell} = \exp[i2\pi x \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (S_j^z + S)] \tilde{U}_{0,\ell}$ $\omega(\tilde{U}_{x,\ell}) \simeq e^{i2\pi x \,\omega(S_j^z + S)} \omega(\tilde{U}_{0,\ell})$

generalized LSM theorem quantum spin chain with a short-ranged U(1) invariant translation-invariant Hamiltonian ω is a unique gapped g.s. $\omega(S_j^z + S) \in \mathbb{Z}$ Theorem Oshikawa, Yamanaka, Affleck 1997, Tasaki 2018 $\omega(S_j^z + S) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for a cannot be a unique gapped g.s. for models where a unique g.s. satisfies $\omega(S^z) = 0$ Theorem Affleck, Lieb 1986 $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$ there can be no unique gapped g.s. "half" of the Haldane conjecture Haldane 1981, 1983, 1983 the Heisenberg AF chain has a unique gappless g.s if $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, ...,$ and a unique gapped g.s. if S = 1, 2, ...



topological phase transition in S = 1 chains



models with unique gapped g.s.

Theorem Affleck, Lieb 1986

 $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ there can be no unique gapped g.s.

for S = 1, Equantum spin chains with U(1) and translation invariant Hamiltonians which have a unique gapped g.s.

 $\begin{array}{l} \fbox{invariant under any} \\ \textbf{g.s.} = \bigotimes_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |0\rangle_j \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} S_j^z |0\rangle_j \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} S_j^z |0\rangle_j = 0 \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z (S_j^z)^2 \\ \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j \\ \overbrace{j \in \mathbb{Z}}^z |0\rangle_j$

non-interacting model with $U(1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry

SAKLT model $H_{AKLT} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ \boldsymbol{S}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} + \frac{1}{3} (\boldsymbol{S}_j \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1})^2 \}$

 $g.s. = \underbrace{\textcircled{}}$

Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb, Tasaki 1987, 1988, Matsui 1997

antiferromagnetic model with SU(2) symmetry

models with unique gapped g.s.

Theorem Affleck, Lieb 1986

 $S = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$ there can be no unique gapped g.s.

for S = 1, Equantum spin chains with U(1) and translation invariant Hamiltonians which have a unique gapped g.s.

Solution M invariant under M invariant

g.s. =
$$\bigotimes_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |0\rangle_j$$
 $S_j^z |0\rangle_j = 0$

invariant under any rotation about the zaxis and $S_j^z \rightarrow -S_j^z$

non-interacting model with $U(1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry

CAKLT model $H_{AKLT} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{S_j \cdot S_{j+1} + \frac{1}{2} (S_j \cdot S_{j+1})^2\}$ **Q.S.** — Cosely reltaed to the Haldane conjecture

Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb, Tasaki 1987, 1988, Matsui 1997

antiferromagnetic model with SU(2) symmetry



numerical results by Hosho Katsura

there is a phase transition at intermediate $s \parallel$ gapless (critical) point 0 trivial phase 4 Haldane phase 1 s unique gapped g.s. unique gapped g.s. topological phase transition $H_s = s H_{\text{AKLT}} + (1 - s) H_{\text{trivial}} \quad s \in [0, 1]$ S = 1gapless (critical) point 0 trivial phase 🔸 Haldane phase unique gapped g.s. unique gapped g.s. how can one distinguish between the two "phases"? is there really a phase transition? hidden antiferromagnetic order den Nijs, Rommelse 1989 the emergence of edge states Kennedy 1990, Hagiwara, Katsumata, Affleck, Halperin, Renard 1990 hidden $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry breaking Kennedy, Tasaki 1992 theory of symmetry protected topological (SPT) phases Gu, Wen 2009, Pollmann, Turner, Berg, Oshikawa 2010, 2012 proof of the existence of a phase transition

Tasaki 2018, Ogata 2018

twist operator as an order parameter" $H_s = s H_{\text{AKLT}} + (1 - s) H_{\text{trivial}} \quad s \in [0, 1]$ S = 1gapless (critical) point trivial phase 🔸 Haldane phase unique gapped g.s. unique gapped g.s. how can one distinguish between the two "phases"? $\theta_{j} = \begin{cases} 0, & j < x; \\ 2\pi(j-x)/\ell, & x \le j \le x+\ell; \\ 2\pi, & j > x+\ell, \end{cases}$ $U_{x,\ell} = \exp[-i\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\theta_j S_j^z]$ $\begin{array}{c} \theta_j \\ 2\pi \end{array}$ $U_{x,\ell}$ continuous in x and ℓ $x + \ell$ xvariation #2 $\omega_{\text{trivial}}(U_{x,\ell}) = 1$ $\omega_{\text{AKLT}}(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq -1$ for sufficiently large ℓ $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell})$ can be used as an "order parameter" Nakamura, Todo 2002

twist operator and \mathbb{Z}_2 topological index $H_s = s H_{\text{AKLT}} + (1 - s) H_{\text{trivial}}$ $s \in [0, 1]$ S = 1 $U(1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry H_s is invariant under $S_i^z \rightarrow -S_j^z$ $U_{x,\ell} = \exp\left[-i\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\theta_j S_j^z\right] \to \exp\left[-i\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\theta_j (-S_j^z)\right] = U_{x,\ell}^{\dagger}$ if ω_s is a unique gapped g.s. of H_s $\omega(U_{x,\ell})$ $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell}) = \omega_s(U_{x,\ell}^{\dagger}) \in \mathbb{R}$ variation #3 $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq \pm 1$ $\sigma = -1$ $\sigma =$ 1 well-defined topological index $\sigma_s \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $\sigma_0 = 1$ and $\sigma_1 = -1$

Theorem Tasaki 2018 there is $s \in (0,1)$ at which ω_s is either not a unique gapped g.s. or exhibits discontinuity twist operator and \mathbb{Z}_2 topological index $H_s = s H_{AKLT} + (1-s) H_{trivial}$ $s \in [0,1]$ S = 1

Theorem Tasaki 2018 There is $s \in (0,1)$ at which ω_s is either not a unique gapped g.s. or exhibits discontinuity

proof: suppose that for $\forall s \in [0,1]$, ω_s is a unique gaped g.s. and $\omega_s(A)$ is continuous in s for $\forall A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$

then $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq \pm 1$ for $\forall s \in [0,1]$ if ℓ is sufficiently large since $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell})$ is continuous in *s*, its sign σ_s cannot change

Ogata 2018 a complete theory of SPT phases that only requires the minimum symmetry, e.g., $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ Tasaki's theorem is elementary, but requires larger symmetry, e.g., $U(1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

edge state in the Haldane phase of S = 1 chains

edge state in the Haldane phase

 $H_{\rm AKLT}$ on the infinite chain has a unique gapped g.s.

effective $S = \frac{1}{2}$

H_{AKLT} on the half-infinite chain has doubly degenerate g.s. Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb, Tasaki 1988

spin chain of S = 1

the emergence of the effective $S = \frac{1}{2}$ degree of freedom at the edge is a universal property of the Haldane phase



the existence of an edge state $H_s = s H_{\text{AKLT}} + (1 - s) H_{\text{trivial}} \quad s \in [0, 1]$ S = 1 $H_s = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} h_j^{(s)}$ $h_{j}^{(s)} = s \{ \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1} + \frac{1}{3} (\boldsymbol{S}_{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j+1})^{2} \} + (1-s) (S_{j}^{z})^{2}$ $H'_s = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} h_j^{(s)}$ Hamiltonian for spin system on \mathbb{Z}_+ Theorem Tasaki 2021

Suppose that H_s has a unique gapped g.s. with $\sigma_s = -1$, and let ω'_s be an arbitrary g.s. of H'_s For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unitary U_{ε} such that $\omega'_s(U_{\varepsilon}) = 0$ and $\omega'_s(U_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger}[H'_s, U_{\varepsilon}]) \le \varepsilon$ Moreover, U_{ε} is local and acts near the edge of \mathbb{Z}_+

 $U_{\varepsilon} | \Phi'_{\rm GS} \rangle$ is orthogonal to $| \Phi'_{\rm GS} \rangle$, and has excitation energy $\leq \varepsilon$





$$\theta_{j} = \begin{cases} 0, & j < x; \\ 2\pi(j-x)/\ell, & x \le j \le x+\ell; \\ 2\pi, & j > x+\ell, \end{cases}$$

 \mathcal{X}

for sufficiently large x

 $\omega'_s(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq \omega_s(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq -1$



at intermediate x the original idea of Lieb, Schultz, and Mattis

 $\omega'_s(U_{x,\ell}) = 0$ $\omega'_s(U_{x,\ell}^{\dagger}[H'_s, U_{x,\ell}]) \le \frac{C}{\ell}$

there is an excited state with the excitation energy $\leq \frac{C}{\rho}$

connecting H_{AKLT} and $H_{trivial}$ without phase transitions

SPT phases in S = 1 **chains** $H_s = s H_{AKLT} + (1 - s) H_{trivial}$ $s \in [0, 1]$ 0 trivial phase Haldane phase

the picture of symmetry protected topological (SPT) phases

Pollmann, Turner, Berg, Oshikawa 2010, 2012, Ogata 2020, 2022 **more generally, there always is a phase transition if** $H_0 = H_{\text{trivial}}, H_1 = H_{\text{AKLT}}, \text{ and } H_s \text{ has } \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ symmetry}$

 π rotations about the x and z axes

S = 1

one may connect the two models without phase transition if the symmetry is not respected

examples Bachmann, Nachtergaele 2012, 2014, Maekawa, Tasaki 2022 **general theory within MPS** Ogata 2017



interpolating the g.s. of $H_{trivial}$ and H_{AKLT} Maekawa, Tasaki 2022 twisted state $s \in (0, 1]$ $|\Phi_s\rangle = \frac{V_s |\Phi_{\text{AKLT}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\rangle}{\|V_s |\Phi_{\text{AKLT}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\rangle\|}$ $=C_s\left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^N S_j\right)\left\{|\uparrow\rangle_{1,\mathrm{L}} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(s\,|\uparrow\rangle_{j,\mathrm{R}}|\downarrow\rangle_{j+1,\mathrm{L}}-|\downarrow\rangle_{j,\mathrm{R}}|\uparrow\rangle_{j+1,\mathrm{L}}\right)\right) \otimes |\downarrow\rangle_{N,\mathrm{R}}\right\}$ $|\Phi_1\rangle = (\text{const}) |\Phi_{\text{AKLT}}^{\uparrow,\downarrow}\rangle$ a g.s. of H_{AKLT} $|\Phi_0
angle = \bigotimes_{j=1}^N |0
angle_j$ the unique g.s. of $H_{ ext{trivial}}$ $\tilde{\omega}_s$ the infinite volume limit (on \mathbb{Z}) of $|\Phi_s\rangle$ translation invariant **Theorem:** There is a continuous family \tilde{H}_s (with $s \in [0,1]$) of Hamiltonians on \mathbb{Z} with $\tilde{H}_1 = H_{AKLT}$ and $\tilde{H}_0 = H_{trivial}$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_s$ is a unique gapped g.s. of \tilde{H}_s for $s \in [0,1]$.



Thouless spin pumping in S = 1 chains





pumping in an infinite quantum spin chain quantum spin chains on \mathbb{Z} with Hamiltonian H_s^p ($s \in [0,1]$) H_s^p is U(1) invariant $H_0^p = H_1^p$ H_s^p has a unique gapped g.s. ω_s^p with gap $\geq \Delta E_0 > 0$ $\omega_s^p(A)$ is continuous in $s \in [0,1]$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ $U_{x,\ell} = \exp\left[-i\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\theta_j S_j^z\right] \quad \theta_j = \begin{cases} 0, & j < x;\\ 2\pi(j-x)/\ell, & x \le j \le x+\ell;\\ 2\pi, & j > x+\ell, \end{cases}$ fix x and sufficiently large ℓ variation #6 $|\omega_s^{\mathbf{p}}(U_{x,\ell})| \simeq 1$ $\omega_s^p(U_{x,\ell}) \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s \in [0,1]$ defines a loop Claim: the corresponding winding number $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the amount of S^z pumped from left to right in the g.s. when s Thouless 1983, Niu, Thouless 1984 is slowly changed from 0 to 1 Resta 1998, Hatsugai, Fukui 2016

SPT phases and "half-spin pumping" quantum spin chains on Z with Hamiltonian H_s^p ($s \in [0, 1/2]$) H_s^p is U(1) invariant H_s^p has a unique gapped g.s. ω_s^p with gap $\geq \Delta E_0 > 0$ $\omega_s^p(A)$ is continuous in $s \in [0, 1/2]$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ H_0^p and $H_{1/2}^p$ are U(1) $\rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant invariant under any $\sigma_0^{\rm p} = -1$ and $\sigma_{1/2}^{\rm p} = 1$ rotation about the zaxis and $S_i^z \rightarrow -S_i^z$ Haldane phase trivial phase $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell})$ $\omega_{1/2}^{\mathrm{p}}(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq 1$ $\omega_0^{\mathrm{p}}(U_{x,\ell}) \simeq -1$ $=\frac{1}{2}$ the "winding number" is inevitably nonzero! $p_{0 \rightarrow 1/2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$

SPT phases and spin pumping quantum spin chains on \mathbb{Z} with Hamiltonian H_s^p ($s \in [0,1]$) H_s^p is U(1) invariant $H_0^p = H_1^p$ H_s^p has a unique gapped g.s. ω_s^p with gap $\geq \Delta E_0 > 0$ $\omega_s^p(A)$ is continuous in $s \in [0,1]$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ H_0^p and $H_{1/2}^p$ are U(1) $\rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant $\sigma_0^{\rm p} = -1$ and $\sigma_{1/2}^{\rm p} = 1$ $\mathcal{R}H_s^p = H_{1-s}^p$ where \mathcal{R} is the spatial reflection

 $\omega_s^{\mathrm{p}}(U_{x,\ell})$

Theorem Tasaki, in preparation In the above setting the winding number $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is the total spin pumped in the adiabatic process variation #7 $s: 0 \rightarrow 1$, is nonzero.

SPT phases and spin pumping

Theorem Tasaki, in preparation In the above setting the winding number $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is the total spin pumped in the adiabatic process $s: 0 \rightarrow 1$, is nonzero.

we always have nonzero pumping when there is a path of Hamiltonians with a unique gapped g.s. which connect models in the Haldane phase and the trivial phase

 $\omega_s^{\mathrm{p}}(U_{x,\ell})$



similar examples of transl. inv. models with any integer S

classifying loops of Hamiltonians

index for a loop of Hamiltonians with any S, not necessarily translation invariant

loop H. of Hamiltonians one-parameter family H_s with $s \in [0,1]$ of U(1)invariant Hamiltonians on \mathbb{Z} such that $H_0 = H_1$ H_s has a unique gapped g.s. ω_s with gap $\geq \Delta E_0 > 0$ $\omega_s(A)$ is continuous in $s \in [0,1]$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$ (1) symmetry $\omega_s(U_{x,\ell})$ winding number H_{s} $p(H_{\cdot})$

Theorem For each loop H_{\cdot} of Hamiltonians, there is a well-defined index $p(H_{\cdot}) \in \mathbb{Z}$

homotopic loops of Hamiltonians

Bachmann, DeRoeck, Fraas, Jappens 2022

variation #8

Kitaev 2013

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{loops } H_{\cdot} \text{ and } H_{\cdot}' \text{ of Hamiltonians are homotopic iff} \\ \exists \text{family } \tilde{H}_{s,\lambda} \text{ with } s,\lambda \in [0,1] \text{ of } U(1) \text{ invariant} \\ \text{Hamiltonians on } \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } \tilde{H}_{s,0} = H_s \text{ and } \tilde{H}_{s,1} = H_s' \\ \tilde{H}_{s,\lambda} \text{ has a unique gapped g.s. } \omega_{s,\lambda} \text{ with gap} \geq \Delta E_1 > 0 \end{array}$

 $\omega_{s,\lambda}(A)$ is continuous in $(s,\lambda) \in [0,1]$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$



Theorem Tasaki, in preparation If H and H' are homotopic, then p(H) = p(H')



Corollary

Let D be a disk, and H_q be U(1) invariant Hamiltonians indexed by $q \in D$ which coincide with H_s^p on ∂D . Then it is impossible that H_q has a unique gapped g.s. ω_q for all $q \in D$ and that $\omega_q(A)$ is continuous in q for any $A \in \mathfrak{A}_{loc}$

summary

The twist operator of Lieb-Schultz-Mattis and Affleck-Lieb enables us to prove various "topological" properties of quantum spin chains in surprisingly elementary manners.

If the methods apply (more naturally) to fermionic or bosonic systems in one dimension.

The second se

references

background, basics, and other interesting topics

Hal Tasaki "Physics and Mathematics of Quantum Many-Body Systems" (Springer, 2020)

basics of the twist operator, generalized and extended Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorems (pedagogical review)

Hal Tasaki "The Lieb-Schultz-Mattis Theorem: A Topological Point of View" in "the Lieb 90 volume" (EMS, 2022) arXiv:2202.06243

\mathbb{Z}_2 index and SPT phase transitions

Hal Tasaki "Topological phase transition and \mathbb{Z}_2 index for S = 1 quantum spin chains" PRL 121,140604 (2018) arXiv:1804.04337

Hal Tasaki "Rigorous Index Theory for One-Dimensional Interacting Topological Insulators" arXiv:2111.07335

the pumping state that connects the trivial and the AKLT model

Daisuke Maekawa and Hal Tasaki "The Asymmetric Valence-Bond-Solid States in Quantum Spin Chains: The Difference Between Odd and Even Spins" arXiv:2205.00653